## APPENDIX V

Median International Standards

## Median International Standards

In 1982, the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) of the United Nations conducted a survey of standards and legal limits for metals including mercury, pesticides, and other contaminants in fishery products. This was in response to frequent inquiries from institutions and companies active in international commerce that found it difficult finding such information.

The FAO surveyed nations that were members of the FAO as well as those who were not. Most nations cooperated with the survey and, in certain other cases, the standards were drawn from other sources. The FAO took all of the responses and presented them in a report entitled "Compilation of Legal Limits for Hazardous Substances in Fish and Fishery Products" (Nauen 1983). Most of the limits were presented in a standard format and in standard units of fresh or live weight. Exceptions are clearly noted.

Nearly all of the standards for pesticides were from the United States (FDA standards). However, with the exception of mercury, the United States has no standards for trace metals in fishery products. It is this very lack of standards that makes interpretation of some of the SMWP findings difficult.

Table V-1 summarizes the standards and guidelines for metals from the FAO report. The table notes whether the standards are for freshwater fish, marine fish, shellfish, or a combination of these. When more than one standard was listed by the FAO report, those values closest to a standard for fresh weight, edible portion were chosen. Exceptions are clearly noted in the table. Standards for each element are arranged in ascending order. The country of origin and the approximate date of adoption are also noted.

As can be seen in Table V-1, some of the standards are not truly for edible portion, fresh weight. For example, some standards refer to canned products or protein. In the case of India, the standards are on a dry weight basis. If the Indian standards were stated in fresh weight terms, they would be approximately one fifth or one sixth of the stated standard.

Table V-1 has many striking features. One feature is that most of the standards are surprisingly similar. Another feature is the large number of countries that have standards for metals. Also, although many of these countries are less developed nations, the standards adopted by these nations do not differ from those of the more developed nations.

The standards were not summarized for mercury because there is a USFDA standard of 1.0 ppm for methyl mercury in the edible portions of fish and shellfish. This was, incidentally, the highest limit set by any nation in the FAO study. The great majority of nations have set a mercury standard of 0.5 ppm.

Median International Standards presented in Table 4 were calculated from the standards listed in Table V-1. The median standard was chosen for use for several reasons. The median is less influenced than the mean by outliers in the data. Also, direct comparisons of standards for fresh versus canned versus dry can be misleading. By using median standards, these misleading comparisons can be more easily avoided. In most cases, the Median International Standard is actually a standard set by one or more nations rather than an average value not actually set by any country. The median was calculated as follows. All standards or guidelines (with the exception of

the Indian standards which are based on dry weight) were considered to be more-or-less equivalent. For the purposes of calculating the median, the Indian standards were divided by five. The median was calculated as the middle value of all of the standards (e.g., the fourth of seven values arranged in ascending order). In a few cases, the number of standards was even. In this event, the two mid-values were averaged (most were not different). None of the adjusted dry-weight standards from India ended up as a median or as part of a mid-value pair.

For obvious reasons, the Median International Standards can only be used to provide a general idea of what other nations have chosen to use as a standard. The range of all values is listed in Table 4 as a reminder of this. However, with the lack of American standards, Median International Standards can provide a guidepost for those responsible for interpreting trace metal findings in fish and shellfish tissue.

TABLE V-1 International Standards for Trace Elements in Fish and Molluscs

Element	Standard	Freshwater Fish	Marine Fish	Molluscs/ Shellfish		pproximate Date of Adoption
Antimony	1 0				Hann Vana	1002
	1.0 ppm	х	x	X	Hong Kong	1983
	1.0 ppm	X	x	X	New Zealand	1971
	1.5 ppm	х	х	х	Australia	1982
Arsenic	0.1 ppm	x	x	x	Venezuela	-
	1.0 ppm	x	x	x	Chile	_
	1.0 ppm	d	d	x	India	-
	1.0 ppm	x	x	x	New Zealand	1971
	1.0 ppm	е	е	е	United Kingdo	m 1959
	1.4 ppm	x			Hong Kong	1983
	1.5 ppm	х	х	x	Australia	1982
	1.5 ppm	С	С	С	Thailand	1982
	3.5 ppm	p	p	-	Canada	1976
	5.0 ppm	X	X	x	Finland	1980
	5.0 ppm	x	x	x	Zambia	1976
	3.0 ppm				Zamora	
Cadmium	0.05 ppm	x	x		Netherlands	_
	0.1 ppm	С	С	С	Switzerland	1982
	0.1 ppm	r	x		Venezuela	_
	0.2 ppm	х	х		Australia	1982
	0.3 ppm	r	r		Finland	_
	0.5 ppm	x	_		W. Germany	1979
	1.0 ppm	x			Netherlands	_
	1.0 ppm	x	x		New Zealand	1971
	2.0 ppm	x	<b>A</b>		Australia	1982
	2.0 ppm	X	х	х	Hong Kong	1983
Chromium	1.0 ppm	х	х	х	Hong Kong	1983
_						
Copper	10.0 ppm	x	x	X	Chile	=
	10.0 ppm	d	d		India	_
	10.0 ppm	x	x		Venezuela	_
	20.0 ppm	С	C	C	Thailand	1982
	20.0 ppm	g	g	g	United Kingdo	
	30.0 ppm	x	x	X	Australia	1982
	30.0 ppm	x	x	x	New Zealand	1971
	100.0 ppm	Х	x		Zambia	1976
Fluoride	150.0 ppm	р	р		Canada	1979
Fluorine	10.0 ppm	x	х		New Zealand	1971
r ruor riie			x x		Zambia	1976
	25.0 ppm	X	X		7411DT4	19/0

p - in proteine - except where natural levels are higherc - in metal containers

g - recommended guidelined - dry weight basisr - revised limit (proposed)

TABLE V-1 (continued) International Standards for Trace Elements in Fish and Molluscs

		Freshwater	Marine	Molluscs/	111	oproximate Date of
Element	Standard	Fish	Fish	Shellfish	Country A	Adoption
ETEMETIC	Standard	righ	F 1511	SHEITISH	COUNTRY F	аорстоп
Lead	0.5 ppm	р	р		Canada	1979
	0.5 ppm	X	r		W. Germany	1979
	0.5 ppm	x	x		Netherlands	=
	1.0 ppm	x	x	x	Sweden	1979
	1.0 ppm	C	C	C	Switzerland	1982
	1.0 ppm	C	C	C	Thailand	1982
	2.0 ppm	x	x	C	Australia	1982
	2.0 ppm	X	x	x	Chile	1982
	2.0 ppm	x	7.	21	Finland	1980
	2.0 ppm	X			Italy	1978
	2.0 ppm	X			Netherlands	-
	2.0 ppm	X	x		New Zealand	_
	2.0 ppm	1	ı 1		Sweden	1979
	2.0 ppm	X	x		United Kingdom	
			x x		Venezuela	1 1900
	2.0 ppm	x	X		Australia	1982
	2.5 ppm	X	a			1982
	5.0 ppm	d	d		India	
	6.0 ppm	X	X	x	Hong Kong	1983
	10.0 ppm	x	x		Zambia	1976
Mercury	eight coun Drug Admin	tries have est istration has	tablished : set an ac	standards for tion level of	0.1 ppm to 1.0 Mercury. The Use 1.0 ppm in the last standard is 0.	J. S. Food and edible portic
	eight coun Drug Admin	tries have est istration has	tablished : set an ac	standards for tion level of	Mercury. The Use 1.0 ppm in the Use 1.0 standard is 0	J. S. Food and edible portic
Mercury Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and	tries have est istration has	tablished : set an ac	standards for tion level of	Mercury. The Use 1.0 ppm in the Use 1.0 standard is 0.  Chile	J. S. Food and edible portic
	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and	tries have est istration has d molluscs.	tablished a set an ac The median	standards for tion level of internations	Mercury. The Use 1.0 ppm in the Use 1.0 standard is 0.  Chile Australia	J. S. Food and edible portic .5 ppm.
	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and	tries have estistration has dimolluscs.	tablished a set an ac The median	standards for tion level of internations	Mercury. The Use 1.0 ppm in the Use 1.0 standard is 0.  Chile	J. S. Food and edible portice. 5 ppm.
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and 0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distration has districted with the state of the state	tablished : set an ac The median  x x x	standards for tion level of internations	Mercury. The Use 1.0 ppm in the Istandard is 0.  Chile Australia New Zealand	J. S. Food and edible portice. 5 ppm.  1982 1982 1971
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and 0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distration has distration has distributed as a second sec	tablished set an ac The median x x x	standards for tion level of internations	Chile Australia Australia	J. S. Food and edible portion 5 ppm.  1982 1982 1971
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 50.0 ppm 100.0 ppm	tries have estistration has d molluscs.	tablished set an ac The median x x x x	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela	J. S. Food and edible portion of ppm.  1982 1982 1971
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distration has distration has distributed as a second sec	tablished set an ac The median x x x	standards for tion level of internations	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland	J. S. Food and edible portion. 5 ppm. 1982 1982 1971 1982 - 1979
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm	tries have estistration has d molluscs.	tablished set an ac The median x x x x	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand	J. S. Food and edible portion of ppm.  1982 1982 1971  1982 - 1979 1977
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	tablished set an ac The median  x x x x x x x	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand New Zealand Hong Kong	J. S. Food and edible portion of the
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm	tries have estistration has d molluscs.	tablished set an ac The median  x x x x x x	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand	J. S. Food and edible portion of ppm.  1982 1982 1971  1982 - 1979 1977
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and 0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	tablished set an ac The median  x x x x x x x	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand New Zealand Hong Kong	J. S. Food and edible portion of the
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and 0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	tablished set an ac The median  x x x x x c x x d	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand New Zealand New Zealand New Zealand New Zealand New Zealand	J. S. Food and edible portice. 5 ppm.  1982 1982 1971  1982 - 1979 1977 1983 - 1982
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	x x x x c x x d x g,c	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand  Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdom	J. S. Food and edible portion of ppm.  1982 1982 1971  1982 - 1979 1977 1983 - 1982 1982 1973
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm	tries have estistration has d molluscs. The strategy of the st	tablished set an active median  x x x x x c x x d x g,c	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand  Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdon  Australia	J. S. Food and edible portion of the
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 40.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	x x x x c x x d x x x x x	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand  Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdom  Australia New Zealand	J. S. Food and edible portion of the
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	x x x x c x x d x x x d	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdon  Australia Australia Australia Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdon  Australia New Zealand India	J. S. Food and edible portion of the
Selenium	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and 0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 50.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	x x x x x c x x d x g,c	standards for tion level of internations x c x g,c	Chile Australia New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdon  Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdon	1982 1982 1982 1982 1971 1982 1971 1982 1977 1983 1982 1973
	eight coun Drug Admin of fish and  0.3 ppm 2.0 ppm 2.0 ppm 100.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 150.0 ppm 230.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm 250.0 ppm	tries have estistration has distration has distrati	x x x x c x x d x x x d	standards for tion level of internations x	Chile Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdon  Australia Australia Australia Australia Venezuela Finland New Zealand Hong Kong India Thailand United Kingdon  Australia New Zealand India	J. S. Food and edible portion of the

e - except where natural levels are higher d - dry weight basis c - in metal containers r - revised limit (proposed) l - in liver

g - recommended guideline